

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,386	01/22/2002	Steffen Hofacker	Mo6675/LeA 34,919	5895
157	7590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER	
BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			GORR, RACHEL F	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/054,386	HOFACKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/054,386

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The functionality of 2.3 is outside the range specified in the preceding claim. The word "the" is also misspelled in this claim.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plassman in view of Nissan and Wieczorrek.
- 4. Plassman discloses acetate solvent containing (page 11, lines 8-9) primer (page 12, line 15) coatings comprising a curing (hardener) component made from reacting a polyisocyanate, preferably having a functionality of 3-4 (bottom page 7), with a silane, preferably having a secondary amine (bottom page 8). He reacts this hardener with a binder component (page 3, line 25). His preferred polyisocyanates are biurets of isocyanurates of hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI)(page 7, line 23), and Kubitza shows, in Polyisocyanate C of col. 4) that HDI biurets typically comprise 23.5 wt. % isocyanate (NCO) content. On page 10, lines 6-7, he shows the same ratio of NCO/silane. He teaches using this primer on polymeric substrates (page 11, line 30). He differs from the claims by not specifying ethyl acetate or butyl acetate as the solvents and by not disclosing a top layer of an inorganic silicon over the primer.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,386

Art Unit: 1711

- 5. Wieczorrek discloses ethyl acetate and butyl acetate as typical lacquer solvents (col. 2, lines 53-54).
- 6. Nissan discloses applying inorganic silicon coatings over polyurethane primers coated on polymeric substrates.
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the acetates of Wieczorrek in the coatings of Plassman because Wieczorrek teaches these as typical solvents fot polyurethane lacquers. It would have been obvious to apply the top coat of Nissan over the primer of Plassman for windscreen applications because Nissan teaches using polyurethane primers and Plassmann discloses polyurethane primers having improved adhesion.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 9-15-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that they obtain better results with the use of the specific solvents. They have no showings to demonstrate this, and the references show that it would be obvious to use these solvents.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

R.G.

Oct. 10, 2003

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER